



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/528,363	03/17/2000	Mason Ng	305976US91	4258
22850	7590	01/13/2010		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET			LIN, KENNY S	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2452	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
01/13/2010	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/528,363	NG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kenny S. Lin	<b>Art Unit</b> 2452	

**All Participants:**(1) Kenny S. Lin.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Aldo Martinez.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 January 2010**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:***Current rejection of Narasimhand in view of Moon and Pivowar***Claims discussed:**

1-8 and 47-54

**Prior art documents discussed:****Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner indicated that by bringing the limitation in claim 47 to claim 1 would make the claim allowable. Similarly, claim 2 with claim 48, claim 3 with claim 49, claim 4 with claim 50, claim 5 with claim 51, claim 6 with claim 52, claim 7 with claim 53 and claim 8 with claim 54. Each of claim 47-54 further define what "transactional information" is and provides better definition of the term email events in the independent claims, which overcomes the pending rejection and the examiner's interpretation of email event.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kenny S Lin/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2452

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)